Executive Board – 17 March 2020

Subject:	School Capital Maintenance Grant Allocations 2020/21	
Corporate	Catherine Underwood – Corporate Director for People (Children and	
Director(s)/Director(s):	Adults)	
Portfolio Holder(s):	Councillor Neghat Khan - Portfolio Holder for Early Years, Education	
	and Employment	
Report author and	Nicole Wilkinson- Assistant Project Manager, Major Projects.	
contact details:	Tel: 0115 8763746	
	e-mail: Nicole.wilkinson@nottinghamcity.gov.uk	
	es No	
Key Decision:		
Criteria for Key Decision:		
(a)	Income Savings of £1,000,000 or more taking account of the overall sion	
and/or		
(b) Significant impact of Yes □ No	on communities living or working in two or more wards in the City	
Type of expenditure:	☐ Revenue ☐ Capital	
Total value of the decisi		
Wards affected: All		
	th Portfolio Holder(s): 21 st January 2020	
Relevant Council Plan K		
Nottingham People		
Living in Nottingham		
Growing Nottingham		
Respect for Nottingham		
Serving Nottingham Better		
-		
Summary of issues (including benefits to citizens/service users):		
The Capital Maintenance grant allocation from the Department for Education (DfE) is expected to		
be confirmed in late Spring 2020. An estimated amount of £1.2 million is forecast to be allocated		
to improve the condition of school buildings maintained by Nottingham City Council (NCC).		
In previous years the timing of the announcement has meant a challenging timescale to deliver		
projects. In order to mitigate this for 2020/21, approval was obtained via Executive Board 19 th		
March 2019 as part of the previous year's scheme 2019/20, to start prioritising and scoping the		
programme of works, allo	wing more time for the delivery of the programme.	
This property and the second		
This report seeks an approval in advance of receipt of the funding announcement to the		
	thin the defined parameters set out below. This will enable the project	
	of the projects in Appendix A to commence once funding has been	
allocated.		
Exempt information: No	200	
Exempt information. No	one.	
Recommendation(s):		
	prove the allocation received by the Department for Education (DfE) of	
	Maintenance funding upon receipt to the projects as set out in appendix	
A,	manustration rationing apprinted the projecte do lot out in appointing	
,		
2. To note that £0.13	6 million is set aside as a contingency fund.	
3. To allow the adjust	tment of the number of projects prioritised in Appendix A and the	

contingency upon receipt of the grant announcement, should it be necessary.

- **4.** Once funding has been received, to approve £0.025 million to allow the scoping and prioritisation of projects for a programme of works in 2021/22 to commence in Autumn 2020.
- **5.** Once funding has been received, to amend the Capital Programme to include the allocation of grant.
- **6.** Once funding has been received, to delegate authority to the Corporate Director for People to allow the adjustment of the number of projects prioritised in Appendix A and the contingency should it be necessary.
- 7. To allocate contingency funding to projects such as health and safety or condition issues which arise during 2020/21, and to adjust the funding allocation for each project once cost information is finalised, subject to value for money being demonstrated and costs being within the overall budget allocated for this programme of works.
- 8. To appoint NCC Design Services to design, procure and manage the projects.
- **9.** To approve the procurement of the works through the East Midlands Regional construction framework an OJEU (the Official Journal of the European Union) compliant framework.
- **10.** To delegate authority to the Head of Legal Services to sign contracts with the preferred contractors following procurement exercises to allow the projects to be delivered.
- **11.**To approve a payment of up to £0.100m from the Building Schools for the Future (BSF) Lifecycle Reserve fund to Rosehill Special School to undertake condition and maintenance works to the building.

1 Reasons for recommendations

- 1.1 The prioritisation of the funding is based on advice received and an extensive review of schools. There are two areas where funding has been prioritised:
 - Health and Safety issues likely to impact on children and staff.
 - Condition issues likely to impact on the operation of the school.
- 1.2 The balance of the funding for the School Condition grant has been identified as part of the prioritisation process and £0.136 million will be held as a contingency amount to deal with urgent health and safety or condition issues that arise during the financial year 2020/21. Delegating authority to the Corporate Director for People to approve these projects will enable a swift response to urgent issues as they arise.
- 1.3 It is assumed that the DfE will continue to allocate the Capital Maintenance grant to Local Authorities. In order to plan ahead for the delivery of future schemes, £0.025 million will be allocated to begin the prioritisation for a programme of works in 2021/22. Further approvals will be sought to allocate funding to this programme of works in Spring 2021 on the assumption that funding will be allocated by the DfE. This forward planning will ensure that the Local Authority prepares for delivery and sets out a clear programme of future works to maintain schools, subject to funding being received.

1.4 Rosehill Special School was rebuilt under wave 2 of the BSF programme. As part of this programme to meet the requirements of the Department for Education (DfE), both the school and the City Council had to commit to funding a lifecycle fund so that the building could be maintained to the same standard as a new Private Finance Initiative (PFI) school for 25 years. Rosehill Special School has now been operational for eight years and the school are undertaking minor works to the building that will improve the education environment, health & safety and security. The works will be procured directly by the school in line with financial regulations and with the support of the Major Projects team.

2 Background (including outcomes of consultation)

- 2.1 An announcement of the Capital Maintenance grants for the financial year 2020/21 is expected imminently. Based on previous funding allocations, it is expected that around £1.2 million will be allocated to improve the condition of school buildings maintained by Nottingham City Council.
- 2.2 This report identifies how the grant will be prioritised to support the Health and Safety and condition needs of schools in Nottingham.
- 2.3 The highest priorities relate to health and safety requirements, for example, where work is required to address the risk of asbestos. The next priorities are those condition issues that mean school buildings are not weather proof or that they are not warm in winter. This could include schools that require roof replacement, windows, boilers, heating pipes and electrical infrastructure.
- 2.4 The overall condition liability for schools in the City is significantly greater than the funding available and there is insufficient funding to complete all the necessary works to ensure all schools will not be at risk from inclement weather. To ensure the most urgent projects are taken forward, a further prioritisation has taken place in consultation with external specialist contractors that takes into consideration the immediacy of the risk to the school.

3 Other options considered in making recommendations

- 3.1 Consideration was given to combine the Condition funding and the Basic Need funding. If combined this funding could be used to address the shortfall in school places across the city.
- 3.2. Consideration was also given to amalgamating the Condition grant with broader City Council capital funding.
- 3.3 Both of these options have been rejected, as they would leave schools at risk of closure through health and safety or condition issues. It would also mean that school buildings would continue to deteriorate, increasing the risk of forced closure for emergency repairs
- 3.4 With regard Rosehill School, to 'do nothing' has been rejected as, while this would retain the funding within the Lifecycle Reserve; it would not support the maintenance of the school as a positive environment for education.
- 4 Finance colleague comments (including implications and value for money/VAT)

4.1 Capital Implications

This decision assumes that the Council will be awarded School Capital Maintenance Funding of £1.204m in 2020/21. The schemes detailed in Appendix A can be completed within this assumed funding allocation and will be added to the Capital Programme.

- 4.2 Any difference between the assumed grant and actual grant will lead to a change in contingency figure. Should the actual figure be significantly less than the assumed £1.204m then the schemes within Appendix A will require value engineering or removing from the programme to fit within the revised funding allocation.
- 4.3 The capital programme will be amended to reflect the £0.100m project at Rosehill which is funded from the Building Schools for the Future (BSF) Lifecycle Reserve.
- 4.4 Appendix A details £0.025m for feasibility costs, should any of these schemes not progress then the abortive costs will require charging to revenue and alternative funding will need to be identified.
- 4.5 As detailed in recommendation 4, when the Corporate Director for Children and Adults either adjusts the projects in Appendix A or approves new schemes copies of the relevant reports are to be shared with Technical Finance ensuring the Capital Programme is updated accordingly.

Tom Straw – Senior Accountant (Capital Programmes) 14th February 2020

4.6 Revenue Implications

If approval is given to allocate the School Capital Maintenance funding allocations as outlined in Appendix A any ongoing maintenance costs which arise after the completion of capital works will need to be funded from the schools budget.

Julia Holmes – Senior Commercial Business Partner (Commercial Finance) 14th February 2020

- 5 Legal and Procurement colleague comments (including risk management issues, and legal, Crime and Disorder Act and procurement implications)
- 5.1 There are no significant concerns with the recommendations set out in the report. Scape's East Midlands Regional Framework provides a compliant procurement route for the works to be undertaken. Sue Oliver Procurement Category Manger Places 13/02/2020.
- 5.2 This report does not raise any significant legal issues provided all contracts are awarded in accordance with the City Council's Financial Regulations.

Andrew James – Team Leader, Commercial, Employment and Education 14/02/20

6 Strategic Assets & Property colleague comments (for decisions relating to all property assets and associated infrastructure)

6.1	Property support this School capital improvement programme on a prioritised basis and subject to all statutory consent being obtained as necessary, Peter Taylor Principal Surveyor 12/2/2020.
7	Social value considerations
7.1	The Proposed framework agreement contains a number of Social Value KPIs, such as diverting waste to land fill, local spend and local employment targets to which the schemes will be measured.
8	Regard to the NHS Constitution
8.1	There are no implications on the NHS constitution
9	Equality Impact Assessment (EIA)
9.1	Has the equality impact of the proposals in this report been assessed?
	No An EIA is not required because: (Please explain why an EIA is not necessary)
	Yes
10	List of background papers relied upon in writing this report (not including published documents or confidential or exempt information)
10.1	None.
11	Published documents referred to in this report
11.1	None.